FILED 1 Robert J. McGaughey (Oregon SB #8007887) Law Office of Robert J. McGaughey 2009 APR 10 PM 2: 29 805 SW Broadway, Suite 2440 Portland, Oregon 97205 Telephone: 503-223-7555 2 CLERK US DISTAICT CHEST SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALL ORMA 3 Email: office@law7555.com 4 Benjamin H. Kaminash California SB #105822 (Oregon SB #890661) Kaminash & Associates, LLC 5 805 SW Broadway, Suite 2440 Portland, Oregon 97205 Telephone: 503-224-3241 6 7 Email: bkaminash@kaminashlaw.com 8 Of Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 09 CV U 735 LAB 13 THOMAS HUBBARD, Case No.: 14 Plaintiff. **COMPLAINT** 15 VS. Dissolution of Corporation, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, 16 PHIL'S BBQ OF POINT LOMA, INC., a Contract Breach 17 California corporation, PHILLIP C. PACE and) Jury trial requested 18 JEFFREY A. LOYA, 19 **Defendants** 20 21 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. This action arises under California common law and under California Corporation 22 23 Code §1800. 2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant the diversity of citizenship of the 24 parties and the requisite amount in controversy pursuant to 28 USC § 1332. 25 3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 USC § 1391(a) & (c) because plaintiff 26 is a citizen of the state of Oregon and all of the defendants are citizens of the state of California 27 28 and are all residents of the Southern District of California.

W

COMPLAINT

LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT J. MCGAUGHEY 805 SW Broadway, Sulte 2440 Portland, Oregon 97205 Tel (503) 223-7555 • Fax (503) 525-4833 Email: Office@law7555.com PAGE 1 OF 8

4 5

THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Thomas Hubbard is a citizen of the state of Oregon. Mr. Hubbard has been a shareholder of Phil's BBQ since shortly after the corporation's inception in 2006. Mr. Hubbard originally owned 1,850 shares of its common stock (representing about 5% of Phil's BBQ issued and outstanding stock). Mr. Hubbard obtained another 1,850 share in or about October 2007 from the shares already owned by the other 2 shareholders. Mr. Hubbard currently holds about 10% of Phil's BBQ issued and outstanding stock.
- 5. Defendant Phil's BBQ of Point Loma, Inc. ("Phil's BBQ") is a California corporation whose principal business office and center of business operations is in San Diego County, California. Phil's BBQ was formed on or about April 7, 2006.
- 6. Defendant Phillip C. Pace is a citizen of the state of California. Mr. Pace owns 16,575 shares (about 45%) of the outstanding common stock of defendant Phil's BBQ. Since its inception, Mr. Pace has been a member of Phil's BBQ board of directors and its president and secretary.
- 7. Defendant Jeffrey A. Loya is a citizen of the state of California. Mr. Loya owns 16,575 shares (about 45%) of the outstanding common stock of defendant Phil's BBQ. Since it inception, Mr. Loya has been a member of Phil's BBQ board of directors and its vice-president and chief financial officer.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 8. At all times, there have been 3 and only 3 shareholders of Phil's BBQ: Thomas Hubbard, Phillip Pace and Jeffrey Loya.
- 9. The owners of Phil's BBQ have elected S corporation status under the federal tax code, that is, they have elected to treat the corporation as a partnership for tax purposes. The owners have otherwise acted as if Phil's BBQ were an incorporated partnership and acted among themselves as would partners in a partnership.
- 10. On or about May 26, 2007, Phil's BBQ and the 3 shareholders of Phil's BBQ each executed a Shareholder Agreement. Section 1.10(c) of this Shareholder Agreement provides: "The Shareholders agree that PACE, LOYA and HUBBARD shall be Directors of the

- 11. The Shareholder Agreement provided in Section 4 that no shareholder could transfer or pledge his shares without first offering his shares to the other shareholders.
- 12. In breach of the promises set forth in Section 4 of the Shareholder Agreement, defendant Pace pledged his shares in Phil's BBQ to Janet Desmond and Alan Yaghdjian without first offer his shares to plaintiff.
- 13. Throughout the existence of Phil's BBQ, defendants Pace and Loya have disregarded plaintiff's status as a director and, on information and belief, conducted director meetings without notice to, or the participation by, plaintiff.
- 14. On information and belief, in or about July 2008, defendants Loya and Pace, acting without the knowledge or consent of plaintiff, caused Phil's BBQ to make 2 transfers of money to Pace, one in the sum of \$523,000 and the other in the sum of \$550,000, so that Pace could purchase a golf course in his own name. Pace later characterized these transfers as "loans", but if loans, the terms of such loans were commercially unreasonable.
- 15. On information and belief, in or about July 2008, defendants Loya and Pace, acting without the knowledge or consent of plaintiff, caused Phil's BBQ to make a transfer of money to Loya in the sum of \$550,000. Loya later characterized this transfer as a "loan", but if a loan, the terms of this loan was commercially unreasonable.
- 16. On information and belief, in or about July 2008, defendants Loya and Pace, acting without the knowledge or consent of plaintiff, caused Phil's BBQ to borrow the sum of \$1,100,000 from Wells Fargo Bank.
- 17. On information and belief, in or about July 2008, immediately upon causing Phil's BBQ to borrow said \$1,100,000 from Wells Fargo Bank, defendants Loya and Pace transferred the proceeds of this Wells Fargo loan to themselves on commercially unreasonable terms.
- 18. All of such fund transfers to Pace and Loya, if loans, were consummated without the approval of the Phil's BBQ shareholders or directors, as required by § 315 of the California Corporation Code.
 - 19. Despite demand by plaintiff, Phil's BBQ has refused to permit him to inspect the

3

5 6

7 8

10

11

9

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

2425

26

27 28

|

corporation's records as required by § 1601 of the California Corporation Code.

FIRST CLAIM

(Action for Dissolution § 1800 of the California Corporation Code)

- 20. Plaintiff incorporate paragraphs 1 through 19 herein.
- 21. Throughout the existence of Phil's BBQ, Pace and Loya have been in control of the corporation.
- 22. Pace and Loya been guilty of or have knowingly countenanced persistent and pervasive fraud, mismanagement or abuse of authority or persistent unfairness toward any shareholders and the property of Phil's BBQ has been misapplied or wasted by reason of the following:
- A. Pace and Loya have caused the corporation to make \$1,623,000 in loans to themselves, on commercially unreasonable terms, without the knowledge or consent of plaintiff and in violation of § 315 of the California Corporation Code.
- B. Pace and Loya have caused the corporation to transfer the proceeds of the Wells Fargo loan to themselves.
- C. Pace and Loya have been conducting the financial and other business of the corporation without the knowledge or consent of plaintiff, despite the fact that plaintiff is one of the corporation's 3 directors.
- D. Pace and Loya have continuously refused plaintiff's request for inspection of corporation records in violation of § 1601 of the California Corporation Code.
- E. Pace and Loya have attempted to exclude plaintiff from the benefits of stock ownership in the corporation by causing the corporation to pay over to themselves all of the corporation's profits through payments to themselves while at the same time refusing to pay consulting fees and proportional distributions to plaintiff.
- 23. The liquidation of Phil's BBQ is reasonably necessary for the protection of the rights or interests of plaintiff. Court should appoint a Receiver to take immediate possession of the assets and business of Phil's BBQ and provide for an orderly liquidation of that business through the sale of its restaurant and other assets as a going concern. The Court should further

Ġ,

order an accounting of the assets of the corporation improperly transferred to Pace and Loya and after that accounting, a consequent financial reconciliation in accordance therewith either from Phil's BBQ or defendants Pace and/or Loya as the case may be and the payment of all debts of the corporation (including any debts owned to plaintiff related to his Consulting Services Agreement, or otherwise), order the Receiver to plaintiff his proportionate share of the corporate assets. Plaintiff believes that the corporation has a going concern value in excess of \$10,000,000.

SECOND CLAIM

(Breach of fiduciary duty)

- 24. Plaintiff incorporate paragraphs 1 through 19 herein.
- 25. The owners of Phil's BBQ have elected S corporation status under the federal tax code, that is, they have elected to treat the corporation as a partnership for tax purposes. The owners have otherwise acted as if Phil's BBQ were an incorporated partnership and acted among themselves as would partners in a partnership.
- 26. As controlling shareholders of Phil's BBQ, Pace and Loya owe plaintiff a fiduciary duty.
 - 27. Pace and Loya have breached their fiduciary duty to plaintiff as follows:
- A. Pace and Loya have attempted to exclude plaintiff from the benefits of stock ownership in the corporation by causing the corporation to pay over to themselves nearly all of the corporation's profits through loans and other payments while at the same time refusing to pay consulting fees and distributions to plaintiff.
- B. Pace and Loya have caused the corporation to make \$1,623,000 in loans to themselves, on commercially unreasonable terms, without the knowledge or consent of plaintiff and in violation of § 315 of the California Corporation Code.
- C. Pace and Loya have caused the corporation to transfer the proceeds of the Wells Fargo loan to themselves.
- D. Pace and Loya have been conducting their business of the corporation without the knowledge or consent of plaintiff, despite the fact that plaintiff is one of the corporation's 3

directors.

28. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned breach of fiduciary duty, plaintiff has been damaged in that his shares in Phil's BBQ have been substantially reduced in value damaging plaintiff in a sum to be determined at trial, but not less than \$1,000,000.

THIRD CLAIM

(Breach of Contract)

- 29. Plaintiff incorporate paragraphs 1 through 19 herein.
- 30. Prior to June 2006, plaintiff was in control of a corporation which owned a lease on the real property that eventually became the site of the Phil's BBQ operations.
 - 31. Pace and Loya wanted to have this lease transferred to Phil's BBQ.
- 32. As part of the consideration for the transfer of this lease, on or about June 1, 2006, plaintiff and Phil's BBQ entered into a Consulting Services Agreement, wherein plaintiff promised to provide restaurant consulting services as needed or not needed and Phil's BBQ promised to pay plaintiff the sum of \$3,333.33 per month for 60 months, regardless of whether Phil's BBQ needed plaintiff's services.
- 33. Plaintiff provided the consulting services as agreed in the Consulting Services Agreement.
- 34. On information and belief, the business of Phil's BBQ was successful, largely as a result of the knowledge and skill of plaintiff.
- 35. On or about June 1, 2007, Phil's BBQ wanted to increase the participation of plaintiff in the business, particularly his on-site services to the business. Since plaintiff lived in another state, this required plaintiff to frequently travel to San Diego, and ultimately to lease an apartment in San Diego, and to spend considerably more time working on behalf of Phil's BBQ than the parties originally contemplated. In order to obtained plaintiff's agreement to the expansion of his duties, Phil's BBQ agreed to increase the monthly pay to plaintiff under the Consulting Services Agreement to \$8,333.33 per month for the remaining months on the Consulting Services Agreement. All other terms of the Consulting Services Agreement remained unchanged.

COMPLAINT

- 36. Plaintiff has continued to provide consulting services to Phil's BBQ as promised.
- 37. Phil's BBQ paid plaintiff the sum of \$3,333.33 per month for the first 11 months of the Consulting Services Agreement, as promised. Once the monthly rate increased, Phil's BBQ paid plaintiff the sum of \$8,333.33 for an additional 19 months, through November 2008, when such payments stopped.
- 38. Despite demand by plaintiff and despite the fact that plaintiff has performed, and continues to perform, under the terms of the Consulting Services Agreement, as amended, Phil's BBQ has made no payments to plaintiff since payment was made in early December 2008 for work performed in the month of November 2008.
- 39. There are 30 payments of \$8,333.33 remaining due on the Consulting Services Agreement, for a total of \$250,000.
- 40. Section 11(e) of the Consulting Services Agreement provides that the prevailing party on any dispute under the Consulting Services Agreement be entitled to an award of that parties reasonable attorney fees and cost, including the costs and fees of expert witnesses and/or consultants.

WHEREFOR, plaintiff prays for judgment against the defendants as follows:

FIRST CLAIM: For the Court to order:

- (A) the dissolution of defendant Phil's BBQ;
- (B) the appointment of a Receiver to take immediate possession of the assets and business of Phil's BBQ and provide for an orderly liquidation of that business through the sale of its restaurant and other assets as a going concern;
- (C) an accounting of the assets of the corporation improperly transferred to Pace and Loya; and
- (D) after that accounting and the payment of all debts of the corporation (including any debts owed to plaintiff related to his Consulting Services Agreement, or otherwise), the Receiver to plaintiff his proportionate share of the corporate assets.

SECOND CLAIM: For a judgment against defendants Pace and Loya, jointly and severally, in an amount to be determined at trial, but not less than the sum of \$1,000,000.

THIRD CLAIM: For a judgment against defendant Phil's BBQ in a sum equal to \$250,000, plus plaintiff's reasonable attorney fees and costs, including expert witness and/or consultants.

Plaintiff also prays for such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable and for his costs incurred herein.

Dated this 7th day of April, 2009.

Respectfully submitted:

Benjamin H. Kaminash,

Of attorneys for plaintiff Thomas Hubbard

VERIFICATION (C.C.P. 446)

I have read the foregoing Complaint for Dissolution of Corporation, Breach of Fiduciary Duty,
Contract Breach and know its contents.
□ I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own
knowledge, except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to thos
matters, I believe them to be true.
Executed on (date) 4-9-09, at San Diego, California.
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
true and correct.
TOM HUBBARD TANAM
Type or Print Name 'Signature

Type or Print Name

SS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

A Company disposes from the

the civil docket sheet. (SER II	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM)			· []
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
Thomas Hubbard (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Jackson County, OR (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			Phil's BBQ of Point Long In Race Control County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Discounty of Residence of First Listed Defendant Discounty CA (IN USE PLANTIFICASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOOP TION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attomey's (Firm Name "(see attachement)"	e, Address, and Telephone Number)		L. Scott Keshir C	CV 0735 LAB	BLM
·					
II. BASIS OF JURISE	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		ITIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 	Citize	en of This State	F DEF 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III		en of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	
		Citiz	en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	.□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		DEFECTION OF THE VIEW		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment Of-Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 1910 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Linjury 385 Property Day Product Liability 385 Property Day Product Liability 360 Other Personal Linjury	JURY	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 50 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service
🖫 🛘 Original 💹 🗍 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) Removed from	Reo	pened specif		
VI CALIGE OF ACT	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which y 28 USC1332	ou are filing	(Do not cite jurisdictions	ni statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Brief description of cause: Dissolution of corporation, br	each of fic	duciary duty and co	ntract breach.	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 04-08 =	2 00 9 SIGNATURE C	TORNEY	OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	20	***			
RECEIPT # [6[3]	AMOUNT SSO, APPLYING I	FP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE
() 411010	04 h				

Attachment to Civil Cover Sheet form JS 44

1. (c)

Law Office of Robert J. McGaughey 805 SW Broadway, Suite 2440 Portland, Oregon 97205 Telephone: 503-223-7555

Kaminash & Associates, LLC 805 SW Broadway, Suite 2440 Portland, Oregon 97205 Telephone: 503-224-3241

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN DIEGO DIVISION

161937 - SR

April 10, 2009 14:32:48

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USA0 #.: 09CV0735

Judge..: LARRY A BURNS \$350.00 CK

'Amount.:

Check#.: PC#1623

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: HUBBARD V. PHIL'S BARBEQUE

CIVIL FILING